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ABSTRACT
Wikipedia is a successful example of collaborative knowledge construction. This can be synergistically complemented with personal knowledge construction whereby individuals are supported in their sharing, experimenting and building of information in a more private setting, without the scrutiny of the whole community. Ideally, both approaches should be seamlessly integrated so that wikipedians can easily transit from the public sphere to the private sphere, and vice versa. To this end, we introduce WikiLayer, a plugin for Wikipedia that permits wikipedians locally supplement Wikipedia articles with their own content (i.e., a layer). Layering additional content is achieved locally by seamlessly interspersing Wikipedia content with custom content. WikiLayer is driven by three main wiki principles: affordability (i.e., if you know how to edit articles, you know how to layer), organic growth (i.e., layers evolve in synchrony with the underlying articles) and shareability (i.e., layers can be shared in confidence through the wikipedian’s social network, e.g., Facebook). The paper provides motivating scenarios for readers, contributors and editors. WikiLayer is available for download at http://webaugmentation.org/wikilayer.xpi.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
D.2.11 [Software Architectures]: Domain-specific architectures; H.5.3 [Information Interfaces and Presentation]: Group and Organization Interfaces—Collaborative computing

General Terms
Human Factors, Design
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1. INTRODUCTION
Web Augmentation is to the Web what Augmented Reality is to the physical world: layering relevant content/layout/navigation over the existing Web to customize the user experience. Examples of what this technology generically enables include reorganizing page content, supplementing page data, changing fonts and formats, etc. [7, 11]. A popular example is the Skype add-on [15], a plugin that turns any phone number found in a Web page into a button that launches Skype to call that number. Another example is LinkScanner [4], an augmentation utility provided by AVG that permits to scan search results from Google, Yahoo! or Bing, and places a safety rating next to each recovered link, which informs about the trustworthiness of the site. This paper tackles Web augmentation for wikipedians by wikipedians.

Why. Web augmentation brings a kind of externalized customization: users can tune the front-end of a website based on their own needs. Specifically, wikipedians could add local content to augment the raw content of a Wikipedia article; or editors could add local annotations about the article’s quality. Does this make sense? At first glance, the answer could be negative since, unlike traditional websites, Wikipedia permits users to contribute with content right away. No need for an additional customization tool. However, three rationales advice a more careful look. First, personal knowledge management. Wikipedia’s freedom of editing does not imply all editions becoming publicly available: the edition should be backed by the community. In some case, divergences might not be opinionated but reflect different goals to be fulfilled by the article. In this setting, Wikipedia augmentation might offer a backdoor for people to do more personalized exploration (hopefully followed by merging), instead of trying to converge on a consensus. Second, directly editing Wikipedia and hence, exposed to public scrutiny, might be too intimidating. Here, Wikipedia augmentation might account for a more personal and protected setting which can eventually spur future contributions. Third, augmentation as an annotation-like mechanism lowers the participation barrier. Between reading and publicly contributing, augmentation can provide a middle pier.

How. Web augmentation should be tuned to the “wiki way”. Wikis are characterized as being open (i.e., edition is easily conducted without even require to log in), organic (i.e., wikis grow and shrink dynamically along the desires of the community that uses and natures them), and observable...
Figure 1: The XML article before (left) and after (right): adding a layer.

(i.e., changes are tracked and visible to the rest of the community) [1]. Likewise, augmenting Wikipedia should then be (1) affordable as the counterpart of open, i.e. the complexity should be similar to that of writing a piece of wikitext, (2) modular as the counterpart of organic, i.e. augmentation code should be provided in piecemeal fashion that might be eventually enlarged or reduced at user’s wish, and (3), shareable as the counterpart of observable, i.e., your augmentation code should be easy to understand, share and install by other members of the community.

With these requirements in mind, we introduce WikiLayer, a plugin for Firefox that extends Wikipedia rendering with augmentation capabilities. So far, Wikipedia supports two modes for article interaction i.e. article and talk, which are realized through the namesake tabs. WikiLayer introduces a third mode: layer. Wikipedia pages are then extended with an additional tab i.e. WikiLayer, which permits users to locally supplement the wiki article with their own content, content obtained from other wikis or content obtained from other websites. Back to the read mode, the rendering will display both the original content and the custom content seamlessly integrated together. The vision is for end users to augment Wikipedia as easily as they currently edit Wikipedia. To this end, WikiLayer permits to declaratively (i.e., affordable) state layers over articles in a piecemeal fashion (i.e., modular) where layer sharing is limited to your acquaintance (e.g., Facebook followers) who are only a click-away from locally installing their own copy of the layer (i.e., shareable). We start by introducing some motivating scenarios.

2. MOTIVATING SCENARIOS

Wikipedia augmentation offers a backdoor for users to customize Wikipedia articles with their own content. This augmented content (referred to as layer[1]) very much depends on your goals as reader, contributor or editor. This subsection introduces distinct scenarios for each of these roles. For comprehension purposes, we provide some snippets of the augmentation language as we go along. The full expressiveness of the language is addressed in the next sections.

Readers: layers as entryways to editing. Reading has been characterized as “a gateway activity through which newcomers learn about Wikipedia” [3]. Reading Wikipedia spurs community engagement, and it is the entry to more involved activities such as editing. However, the gap between reading and editing could be too large for some wikipedians. Layering provides a middle pier in this transition by facilitating ‘edition in private’. But layering is not editing for the sake of editing. Layering is editing with a purpose: extending/tailoring the coverage of a topic (i.e., an article). For instance, you can supplement your own local references for the Wikipedia article on the XML topic, indicating whether the book is in the University library. Figure 1 depicts the XML article before and after being augmented with the following wikitext:

```
LayerOnArticle("XML").
AfterSection("References").
EmbedNote("== My own references ==\n\[http://www.amazon.com/dp/0201771861
Processing XML with Java\] Nice book. Also available at the University Library!")
```

Using wikitext, this wikitext introduces a new section after section “References” of the article “XML”. From now on, navigating to XML will seamlessly introduce this reference on the fly (see Figure 1). Other wikitext might impact a set of articles characterized by their membership to a Wikipedia category. For instance, you might augment articles pertaining to the XML category with a link to delicious with information about who has bookmarked this article. Thus, you can readily obtain a first feeling about the popularity of the article. The wikitext follows:

```
LayerOnArticle("Category:XML").
BeforeSection(""").
EmbedNote("See who has found this article interesting enough to be bookmarked in Delicious. [http://delicious.com/url/?url=en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Article CLICK HERE].")
```

---

1A layer is composed by one or more wikitext notes.
This wikinote applies to any article belonging to the category XML. At runtime, the topic of the current article (e.g., XML Schema) is kept in the variable $article$. Similar variables are available to refer to the current section ($section$), user ($user$), ip ($ip$) and other items.

Contributors: layers as enablers of perspective co-existence. Collaborative knowledge building is basically a spiralled process where knowledge first emerges at individual context and then it is socialized [13]. This process involves externalization, publication, internalization and reaction. Most wikis only support the knowledge socialization, but it is fundamental to support personal knowledge building too. Web augmentation offers a way for personal knowledge management to be structured along Wikipedia topics. This personal perspective might not be compatible with Wikipedia’s “neutral-point-of-view” policy [2]. Such neutrality leads to lean articles that focus on the bare essence of the topic at hand. That is, articles are devoided of any contextualized bias. Contextualization is not bad but addresses the topic from a specific perspective. For instance, the article XML restricts itself to introduce the rationales, history, criticism and core notions of this topic. This is sufficient for readers looking for an introduction to XML. However, consider the use of Wikipedia in a classroom. Besides the bare description of the topic, lecturers might be interested in providing additional teaching material (e.g., figures, commented bibliography, additional resources, hot trends, debates, etc.) along the structure and support offered by the Wikipedia article. Directly editing the article might be inconvenient (since adding teaching material is not the aim of Wikipedia) or just too intimidating. Augmentation permits a non-intrusive, self-consumption approach to extend Wikipedia. In some cases, these different perspectives might already co-exist in the wikisphere. For instance, the topic “Barcelona” is covered in both Wikipedia (providing factual information about the population, history, etc. of this city) and Wikitravel (facilitating travellers’ opinions about where to stay, eat, visit, etc.). As another example, Wikipedia is being referred as a source of ‘crowd-sourced’ perspective that might not match academic standards. This grounds initiatives such as ‘citizendium.org’ where contribution might be limited to experts or gently guided by experts. In this setting, you can layer the XML Wikipedia article with some sections obtained from other citizendium:

```
LayerOnArticle("XML").
```

```
AfterSection("Characters and escaping").

EmbedNote(extractSection("en.citizendium.org/wiki/XML","XML Specification and Origin"))
```

Editors: layers as productivity tools. Now, we change the focus to history pages. A history page contains a list of the page’s previous revisions, including the date and time of each edition, the username or IP address of the user who made it, and their edition summary. Broadly, this data accounts for the main dimensions for assessing editing: who, what and when. However, this information might be insufficient for decision taking. Vandalism detection is a case in point. Recent studies [9] suggest the use of metadata (e.g., revision comment length, local time-of-day, etc.) or reputation (e.g., user reputation, country reputation, trust histogram, etc.), as valuable sources to detect vandalism. Some of these data might already be available on the Web: reputation data (a.k.a. Karma) can be obtained from [http://wpcvn.com/](http://wpcvn.com/); geolocation through IP address is available at [http://maxmind.com/](http://maxmind.com/). Despite being online, navigating back and forth from the history page to these sites can be cumbersome and time consuming, if conducted routinely. Augmentation can help here. Editors can define a layer that dynamically augments the history pages at hand with additional information extracted from these places. Back to the XML example, we are now interesting in tracking the history of editions but augmented with the Karma. Figure 2 compares the “real” history page and the augmentation conducted by the following wikinote:

```
LayerOnHistory("XML").
```

```
AfterUser().

EmbedNote(extractFromPage("http://wpcvn.com/s/karma?username=$user"))
```

This wikinote extends the user description in the XML history page with his/her Karma as obtained from
Figure 3: Feature Diagram: characterizing Wikipedia augmentation.

In this case, the ready availability of the Karma improves the productivity of the editor.


3. A LANGUAGE FOR WIKINOTE DEFINITION

Web Augmentation is the act of superimposing additional directives on top of existing Web pages at run time [5]. The approach is non-intrusive in the sense that the augmented website is unaware of the augmentation. This is achieved through JavaScript using special weavers that permit a locally provided script to make on-the-fly changes to the currently loaded Web page. Unfortunately, JavaScript meets none of our requirements. Scripts are neither affordable (i.e., JavaScript is a convoluted programming language, ignored by most of the wikipedians) nor modular (i.e., scripts tend to be a bulk of code, difficult to enlarge and reduce along the Wikipedia article evolution) nor shareable (i.e., scripts tend to be poorly documented). The bottom line is that only dedicated programmers are disposed to produce scripts, and only courageous consumers are willing to install them. We strive to depart from this situation, heading to a vision of Wikipedia augmentation as a Web2.0 activity, i.e., end-user oriented.

To this end, we resort to Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs). DSLs are full-fledged languages tailored to specific application domains by using domain-specific terms [8]. Appropriately fixing the scope, the target audience, and the main abstractions of this domain will determine the success of the DSL. Our domain is Wikipedia augmentation. Our target audience is wikipedians. That is, we expect users to be familiar with the notions of e.g., article, category, edition, history, infobox or wikitext. As for the main abstractions, they are obtained after conducting domain analysis, i.e., looking at distinct scenarios of Wikipedia augmentation (see Section 2), and ascertaining the main domain concepts and their interdependencies. A main outcome of this analysis is a feature diagram [10]. For our purpose, a feature is a prominent and distinctive user visible characteristic to be tackled during Wikipedia augmentation. Next section introduces those features.

3.1 WikiLayer Feature Diagram

Insights gained by looking at previous scenarios, should now be made precise in terms of a feature diagram. Figure 3 shows such diagram for the WikiLayer DSL. The diagram states that a WikiLayer expression (hereafter referred to as a wikinote) frames an augmentation within a scope. A scope holds pointcuts that pinpoint where the article content can be locally supplemented with a note. More specifically:

- **Scope.** Wikipedia comprises a huge bulk of pages. First, we should determine the focus of the augmentation effort. This includes the type of page (i.e., Article, Category or History) and the topic (i.e., a specific page such as the article about XML).
- **Pointcut.** The scope delimits the pages subject to augmentation. However, a page might offer different injection points. These points are denoted after

Recall that wikinotes are the building blocks of layers.
the structural elements found in a page (referred to as “items”). For articles, items include Sections, References, ExternalLinks, etc. For history pages, items include IP, User, Contribution, etc. That is, items depend on the kind of page. In addition, a page is not a set but a sequence of items. An article is a sequence of sections. A history is a sequence of edition traces. Hence, a pointcut is a pair (position, item), for instance (Before, "Characters and escaping" section).

A feature diagram serves to state the commonalities and variabilities of the domain at hand, so that commonalities are built-in into the DSL engine whereas variabilities are supported as parameters to be set by the DSL user [12]. These parameters to-be-set-by-the-user are so provided as a DSL expression. This expression follows a concrete syntax. Next subsection provides the details.

3.2 The WikiLayer Language

This subsection introduces the WikiLayer language through examples. It also outlines the process of conceiving a WikiLayer expression (i.e., a wikinote). Figure 4 depicts the Backus-Naur Form (BNF) grammar.

![Figure 4: WikiLayer BNF.](image)

- **Note.** A note is a wikitext expression. This note can be static (i.e., directly provided by the user) or dynamic (i.e., the outcome of a function). Functions permit notes to be extracted from Web pages in general, or wiki pages, in particular. Note displaying is governed by both a rendering strategy and a triggering strategy. The former indicates whether the note is to be embedded or posted w.r.t the raw page. Embedding implies the reader perceives no difference between the raw content and the augmented content. By contrast, posting makes augmented content visible by visualizing the note as a post on top of the Wikipedia page. As for the triggering strategy, it refers to when the note is to be shown up. Matching the scope might directly lead to show up the note (i.e., immediate strategy). Alternatively, notes can be shown on demand (i.e., on-demand strategy), whereby a user action is required for the note to surface (e.g., clicking a button, passing the mouse over a certain page region, etc.).

This set can be described either extensionally by referring to the Wikipedia topic (examples 1 and 2) or intentionally through category membership (e.g., articles that belong to the XML category, example 3).

**Setting the scope.** First, you should indicate the kind of pages you are going to act upon: LayerOnArticle(), LayerOnHistory(), etc. Next, you focus on the specific pages to be subject to augmentation:

1. LayerOnArticle("XML")
2. LayerOnArticle(["XML","XPath"])
3. LayerOnArticle("Category:XML")

This set can be described either extensionally by referring to the Wikipedia topic (examples 1 and 2) or intentionally through category membership (e.g., articles that belong to the XML category, example 3).

**Setting the note.** Next, you focus on the new material to be added, i.e., the note. WikiLayer supports three options: (1) directly providing the wikitext, (2) extracting the note from other wiki pages or (3), extracting the note from other HTML pages. Examples follow:
1. "===Java Architecture for XML binding===
   This allows Java developers to map Java classes to XML representations. A nice tutorial can be found [http://jaxb.dev.java.net/tutorial/ here]
2. extractSection("en.citizendium.org/wiki/XML", "XML Specification and Origin")
3. extractFromPage("www.vogella.de/articles/JAXB/article.html")

Example 1 explicitly provides the note as a piece of wikitext. If you know how to edit an article, then you know how to write a note. By contrast, examples 2 and 3 take the note from the websphere. Here, we use a transclusion-like approach whereby the inclusion is performed on demand at the time the Wikipedia article is loaded. The location is described in terms of the page’s URL and a region within this page. For wiki pages, these regions stand for items (e.g., Section, Id, Timestamp, TotalLength, etc.). WikiLayer provides namesake functions to extract the items from wikis (e.g., extractSection(), extractUser(), etc.). Example 2 extracts the section "XML Specification and Origin" from the XML article at citzendum.

If the source is not a wiki (better said, a MediaWiki-powered wiki), then the note should be manually pinpointed by the user. Here, it is not clear which kind of “items” should be introduced to play the role of references for note extraction. In the lack of items, you can resort to XPath to pinpoint the HTML region to extract. But most wikipedians probably ignore XPath. As a result, we resort to programming-by-example. Wikipedians provide only the URL parameter to this function: extractFromPage(). The first time the wikitext containing extractFromPage() is enacted, the engine automatically navigates to this URL and intersperse a grid-like structure on top of the current DOM tree (i.e., the runtime tree-like structure of HTML pages). As the user moves the cursor around the screen, the DOM node under the current cursor location is highlighted. By clicking, the user makes up his mind about the fragment to be extracted, and the wikitext becomes bound to the so-identified XPath. Subsequent enactments of this wikitext will directly extract this region.

Setting the injection location. This addresses in-context presentation of wikitext, i.e., how notes are integrated in the original wiki content. This is realized by the rendering strategy and the triggering strategy. Some examples follow:

1. BeforeSection("XML as data type").EmbedNote(…)
2. BeforeSection("XML as data type").OnClickingButton().PostNote(…)

The rendering strategy has a two-fold implication. First, declaring the pointcut in the form "PositionItem()" where "Position" can be "Before", "After" or "Upon", and "Item" can be any item type (e.g., BeforeSection(), AfterTimestamp(), UponTotalLength()), Second, deciding whether the note is to be showed up on demand. Example 2 illustrates this option: the clause OnClickingButton() will cause a button to be rendered whose clicking is necessary for the note to pop up. As for the triggering strategy, it determines whether the note is to be embedded (EmbedNote()) or posted (PostNote()).
Putting the pieces together. This relates to the fluency of the DSL, i.e., making the expression easy to read and understand. At this regard, we prioritize setting the context, i.e., the scope of the note. Hence, WikiLayer syntax starts with the scope, next, the pointcuts, and finally, the note. In brief, a wikinote looks like follows:

```
LayerOnArticle("XML").
BeforeSection("XML as data type").
OnClickingButton().
EmbedNote(extractSection("en.citizendium.org/wiki/XML","XML Specification and Origin").)
```

4. FRAMING WIKILAYER INTO WIKIPEDIA

Hiding JavaScript from wikipedians is not enough. We should strive to frame WikiLayer in its context of use, i.e., Wikipedia. It should look like WikiLayer is part of Wikipedia! Our aim is to make layer editing an “impulsive” action so that editing can occur at the time and at the place where users consult Wikipedia, i.e., the browser. Back to our sample, the user is reading the XML article, he comes up with a new reference, and, right at this time, he is impelled to enhance the layer. The aim is to drive this impulse at the time it arises. This calls for a seamless integration of WikiLayer within the Wikipedia front-end, i.e., Web-augmenting Wikipedia with WikiLayer functionality. Such functionality includes layer edition, verification, maintenance and sharing.

Edition. So far, Wikipedia supports two modes for article interaction: describing an article, and talking about an article. WikiLayer envisages annotations as a third mode: besides describing and talking, articles can also be subject to annotation. Wikipedia uses tabs to reflect modes: the Article tab and the Talk tab. Accordingly, WikiLayer introduces a third tab: the WikiLayer tab (see Figure 5). By clicking on this tab, the Read and the Edit tabs become online editors for your layer. Click the Edit tab. Now, you are ready to provide your wikinotes. Akin to wiki editing practices, wikinotes are specified using a template. Each of the language clauses are declared as a template parameter. Figure 5 illustrates the case of a lecturer who augments the XML article for teaching purposes. This includes: (1) a new section about JAXB obtained from the Wikipedia itself; (2) a new graph about the evolution of XML as a keyword found in online job posting as provided by http://www.indeed.com/, (3) an extension of the References section with commented bibliographical entries provided in terms of wikitext; and (4) an extension to the XML Schema subsection with links to a tutorial about this topic at http://www.zvon.org/. You can download this layer from http://tinyurl.com/wikilayersamples2.

Verification. Akin to wiki editing practices, before saving a layer, it is convenient to obtain a preview. Here, this mechanism is used to verify the syntactic correctness of your wikinotes. Any syntactical error will be spotted and reported in the preview. If you directly go to “save” without preview, the verification is still conducted but just a brief error message is issued, should there be any problem. Once wikinotes are syntactically correct, the engine stores them in the browser. This entails the article at hand will be automatically augmented the next time it is loaded. If the wikinote extracts content from websites other than wikis, the first enactment will require to graphically set the XPath that recovers the desired HTML fragment (e.g., the job-trend graph at indeed.com). From then on, WikiLayer will seamlessly intersperse the local content (i.e., the wikinote) with the remote content (i.e., the original article).
Maintenance. Once defined, layers are prone to change. Rationales include: (1) layers are rooted in a moving target, Wikipedia pages (e.g., new sections, paragraphs, etc.) can be added or removed, and this will likely percolate to their layer counterparts e.g., if you refer to sections based on their numbers then, introducing a new section will no longer properly locate your layer); (2) augmentation is a process: new layers are envisaged as you come across with interesting stuff in the Web.

WikiLayer is designed with this dynamicity in mind: layer modularity helps to easily add/remove wikinotes. When on the WikiLayer mode, you can see which wikinotes operate on the current article by clicking the Read tab (see Figure 6). From then on, you can add/remove wikinotes at your wish.

Sharing. Annotation alone might not be enough. Sharing might increase not only the quality of layers but also the wikipedian’s confidence to consolidate the layer as part of the original article. Hence, sharing might play a pushing role in this transition from reading to editing. By its very own nature and purpose, layer sharing departs from Wikipedia article sharing. Rather than a central repository, we regard social networks as more appropriate for layer sharing.

Being plain text, layers can be easily emailed. But text is no longer the most convenient way of sharing in the Web: URL bookmarks are. WikiLayer turns layers into URLs so that you can easily share them through Twitter or Facebook. Figure 7 shows this utility in action. The rendering of layer is now decorated with the icons of these social networks. On clicking the Facebook icon, the wikipedian is publishing his layer URL into his wall. Likewise, pushing the Twitter icon creates a tweet that includes the layer’s URL. By clicking this URL, followers download the layer right away. No need to go to a repository. Of course, this requires followers to install the WikiLayer plug-in.

5. RELATED WORK

This work can be framed within the area of Personal Knowledge Management through wikis [14]. Users require means for creating, combining and adapting information in an isolate and guessimate way before eventually sharing the outcome with their mates for further refinement. At this respect, approaches can be arranged along a continuum from completely detached wikis (a.k.a. personal wikis), passing from P2P approaches (where your personal content can be shared in a pair-like way but not central server exists) to versioning-like architectures where you can start by checking out from a traditional wiki, create your own branch and then merge the content back. We compare these different approaches along a set of dimensions (see Table 1): the scope (whether the reach is limited to a single wiki or expands across different wikis), the contribution type (what the user contribution is: a whole wiki, an article, an item, a semantic annotation), and finally, the architectural style (i.e., standalone, client/server, P2P). The goal is not to provide an exhaustive list of endeavours but outline the main differences with WikiLayer. For completeness sake, we also include in the comparison Web annotation tools.

Web Annotation Tools. Being a Web application, Wikipedia can benefit from Web annotation tools such as Diigo or A.nnotate. Nothing wrong with it. However, our premise is that Wikipedia (unlike other websites) should look at annotation as a mean to achieve its very own goals: reading and editing articles. While annotating a University website is not directly related with the University goals (i.e.,
Wikipedia is all about engaging the crowd in article contribution. From this perspective, annotation is no longer an ancillary activity but a main mean to fulfil Wikipedia’s ends. By using general-purpose Web annotation tools, we miss the opportunities brought by a Wikipedia-specific annotation tool: same rendering experience (i.e., annotation as an article mode), in-context presentation (i.e., annotations intermingled with original content), or a ‘wiki-ish’ annotation description (i.e., use of wikitext or transclusion mechanisms). In brief, making annotation a natural gesture for wikipedians. WikiLayer is an attempt in this direction.

**Personal Wikis.** A personal wiki is like a traditional wiki but with a single user. WikidPad¹ is a case in point (for a list, refer to http://fc2.com/cgi/wiki?PersonalWiki). WikidPad defines itself as “a Wiki-like notebook for storing your thoughts, ideas, todo lists, contacts, or anything else you can think of to write down. WikidPad is like an IDE for your thoughts²”. The main difference with WikiLayer stems from the starting point: WikiLayer pivots around an existing wiki. Unlike WikidPad, layers cannot be created in a vacuum but they are anchored in existing articles. Layers look more like annotations.

**Semantic Wikis.** A semantic wiki allows users to make formal descriptions of resources by annotating the pages that represent those resources. Where a regular wiki enables users to describe resources in natural language, a Semantic wiki enables users to additionally describe resources in a formal language. This facilitates the structuring (hence, querying) and potential reusing of the wiki content. The P-Swooki effort complements semantic wikis by introducing the personal perspective [16]: personal semantic annotations are associated to the wiki page and they can only be accessed by the owner user. In this way, personal annotations support the individual understanding in the collaborative knowledge building process while providing personalized knowledge retrieving, structuring and navigation [16]. Users keep their personal annotations local (i.e., the article tags), which can eventually be blended with the publicly visible tags of the semantic wiki. WikiLayer shares the same spirit: ability to annotate existing wiki material. The difference stems from the subject of contribution: semantic annotations (tags) in P-Swooki versus items (e.g., sections) in WikiLayer. In addition, WikiLayer favours a mashup approach where material from different wikis can be easily mixed together whereas P-Swooki is intra-wiki. And the other side of the coin, WikiLayer does not support automatic merging of layers into wiki articles whereas P-Swooki does.

**Wiki Versioning.** These tools are inspired by software versioning and revision control utilities like SVN or Git. Generally, the engine can be installed locally and at the same time, on the server, and periodically (normally on demand) synchronize both installations. Moreover, other users can also have their own local installations which are also centrally synchronized. Normally, the process starts by creating a local clone (a.k.a. check out) (including page history). Examples include Hatta³, or Firestarter for Confluence⁴. Firestarter is described as “a wiki on a USB drive”. The envisaged scenarios include working on the wiki while offline. Wiki versioning shares with WikiLayer the fact of starting with existing content. However, the ending is not necessarily a blend with the ground article. Rather, layers are prone to become “personal views” over existing article by tailoring it to some new context (e.g., teaching). This resembles “forking” as supported by versioning packages whereby a new project is initiated out of the base one. From this perspective, WikiLayer can be regarded as a lightweight wiki-oriented approach to article versioning, though no automatic merging is supported. Notice the difference in granularity: WikiLayer versions articles while Hatta-like applications version the wiki as a whole. In addition, versioning systems like Git focus on a code unit which can next be forked if appropriate but the coordination model is that of branching from a single core. Moving away from this centralized approach, we reach federated wikis.

**Federated Wikis.** At the moment, wiki content is kept within the walls of the wiki engine. Export and import utilities exist, but there is no feature specifically designed to share content across wiki repositories. Pioneer by Ward Cunningham [6], federated wikis strive to open wiki content in a controlled way. Federation has a two-fold implication. First, wikis stay in control of the inflow and outflow streams of wiki content. Second, wikis are engineered for collaboration. For instance, Cunningham’s engine rests on the existence of a common JSON representation for articles. It does not matter how engines obtain this JSON as long as they follow this common format. This JSON format becomes the lingua franca for exchanging content from different wikis. In addition, articles keep a log, i.e., a trace of the different operations conducted over the article at hand. These operations include “edit”, “add”, “remove” and the like. It is also possible to “fork” an article. This clones the article to your wiki. From then on, the original article and the clone have different lifecycles, though you can keep an eye on the clone and eventually integrate some of its content. Federated wikis are certainly a step ahead in knowledge sharing. WikiLayer complements this view by adding “personal” on top of it. Edition and sharing are conducted within a personal realm: sharing through your friends in Facebook or followers in Twitter, and edition through a transparent local repository.

---


---

### Table 1: Personal Knowledge Management: wiki-based approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution Type</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Architectural Style</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WikidPad</td>
<td>wiki</td>
<td>intra-wiki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Swooki semantic annotation (tag)</td>
<td>intra-wiki</td>
<td>P2P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatta</td>
<td>wiki</td>
<td>intra-wiki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federated Wiki</td>
<td>wiki/article</td>
<td>inter-wiki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WikiLayer</td>
<td>item</td>
<td>inter-wiki</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. CONCLUSIONS

We introduced WikiLayer, an augmentation facility targeted to wikipedians. WikiLayer provides a lightweight, seamless, client-based approach to supplement existing wiki articles with additional content, potentially brought from other websites (wikis or not). The approach has been carefully designed to wikipedians: layer design is along wiki concepts (e.g., section, wikitext), layer syntax resorts to wiki templates, and layer management is achieved through wiki-like pages. This endeavour is framed within the efforts to blend social knowledge management and personal knowledge management. From this perspective, WikiLayer introduces the personal perspective in wikis.

This work shows the technical feasibility of the augmentation approach. However, the ground premises need yet to be demonstrated. Our next follow-on is to conduct validation experiments to check the following hypothesis: (1) readers using layers are more inclined to become editors, (2) wikipedians look at layer-enhanced wikis as appropriate hubs to collect web-based material and (3), layer-based editing surveillance leads to more frequent monitoring and hence play the advantage of the quality of the monitored articles.
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